



**Software Validation
Form**

PROTOCOL NO:

EFFECTIVE DATE:

PAGE NO.:

Software Validation Form

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| TITLE: | |
| AUTHORING GROUP: | |
| DATE: | |
| SUPERSEDE PROTOCOL NO.: | |



Software Validation Form

PROTOCOL NO:

EFFECTIVE DATE:

PAGE NO.:

TABLE OF CONTENT

| Sr. No. | Contents | Page No. |
|---------|---|----------|
| 1. | Information about the Software | |
| 2. | Intended Use and Use Context | |
| 3. | Quality Relevance | |
| | 3.1 Criterion | |
| 4. | General Assessment | |
| | 4.1 Software Category | |
| | 4.2 Risk Assessment | |
| | 4.3 Critically and Review Schedule | |
| 5. | Validation Plan | |
| | 5.1 Participants | |
| | 5.2 Test Environment | |
| | 5.3 Testing Procedure | |
| 6. | Validation Report and Requirements | |
| | 6.1 Acceptance Criteria | |
| | 6.2 Validation of Usage Requirements | |
| | 6.3 Validation of Technical Requirements | |
| | 6.4 Summary of Validation | |
| | 6.5 Conclusion | |
| 7. | Proof of Validation | |
| 8. | Approval and Release | |
| 9. | History | |
| 10. | Annex: Additional Information for Critically Classification | |



Software Validation Form

PROTOCOL NO:

EFFECTIVE DATE:

PAGE NO.:

<GMP Software> - Software Validation Form

1. Information about the Software

QMS ID <ID>

Name <GMP Software Pvt.Ltd>

Version <x.x.x>

Location <url>

Processes <processes in which this tool is used>

2. Intended Use and Use Context

Describe intended use and usage context (e.g. automation, testing, control, altering). Include technical and usage requirements that the system shall fulfill.

3. Quality Relevance

Rate these aspects with yes (y) or no (n). If any of these aspects are rated as yes, the system is quality relevant and should be validated.

Criterion

Y/N

Is the system used in one or more processes that steer the QMS?

Could the conformity of the organization's medical devices be affected if the system does not work according to its specifications?

Could risks arise for patients, users, third parties or the organization if the system does not work according to its specifications?

Does the software generate or manage data / records that are relevant to the QMS or medical device approval by authorities?

Is the software used to generate electronic signatures on documents or records required by the QMS and/or state authorities?

4. General Assessment

4.1 Software Category

- Infrastructure software (e.g. operating systems, databases, office applications, antivirus, network management software) (GAMP category 1)
- Non-configurable software (GAMP category 3)
- Configurable software (GAMP category 4)
- Custom (self-developed) software (GAMP category 5)



Software Validation Form

PROTOCOL NO:

EFFECTIVE DATE:

PAGE NO.:

4.2 Risk Assessment

List of Risks:

- <list of risks>

List of Risk Mitigation Measures (if necessary):

- <list possible risk mitigation measures>

4.3 Critically and Review Schedule

Refer to section 10 for descriptions of the criticality classifications. If a software is not highly critical and widely adopted / commonly used, it can be continuously re-validated during use.

- **Low** (review upon major changes)
- **Moderate** (review every year)
- **High** (review every 6 months)

5. Validation Plan

5.1 Participants

| Role | Name | Task(s) |
|------|------|---------|
|------|------|---------|

5.2 Test Environment

- Software tool accessed with <Windows 10 20H2 on Google Chrome 88.0.4324.150>
- Reference User Manual

5.3 Testing Procedure

- Run software system on sample data

6. Validation Report and Requirements

6.1 Acceptance Criteria

The software is approved for use if it is validated successfully and works as expected.



Software Validation Form

PROTOCOL NO:

EFFECTIVE DATE:

PAGE NO.:

6.2 Validation of Usage Requirements

| ID | Expected | Result | Pass? |
|----|--|---|-------|
| U1 | e.g. "A radiologist can log in with their email and password." | "Login with correct email and password grants access to the annotation tool." | yes |

6.3 Validation of Technical Requirements

| ID | Expected | Result | Pass? |
|----|--|--|-------|
| T1 | e.g. "Execute correctly in the specified runtime (Google Chrome)." | "The application runs correctly in Google Chrome." | yes |

6.4 Summary of Validation

| Type | Total | Pass | Fail |
|------------------------|-------|------|------|
| Usage Requirements | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Technical Requirements | 1 | 1 | 0 |

6.5 Conclusion

Approving the software for use is recommended due to the acceptance criteria being fulfilled completely.

7. Proof of Validation

You can optionally insert screenshots for proof of validation. Strictly speaking, this is not a hard requirement by the standards but it's nice to show when you're being audited.

U1 <insert screen short>

T1 <insert screen short>

8. Approval and Release

| | |
|------------------|------------------|
| Date of Approval | Name of Approver |
| <date> | <name> |



Software Validation Form

PROTOCOL NO:

EFFECTIVE DATE:

PAGE NO.:

9. History

| Date | Name | Activity |
|--------------------|------|----------|
| <Initial Approval> | | |

9. Annex: Additional Information for Critically Classification

GAMP Implications

- GAMP 5 always leads to “high” software critically
- GAMP 4 always leads to a “high” or “moderate” software criticality, depending on further risk assessment in step 4.2
- GAMP 1 and 3 typically leads to a “low” or “moderate” software criticality, depending on further risk assessment in step 4.2

Criticality High

- A software failure can lead to physical harm requiring medical intervention
- Software controls parameters or data that are essential during product release
- Software manages data relevant for clinical evaluation or product approval
- Software manages data from which conclusions about incident messages or recall actions are drawn

Criticality Moderate

- A software failure can lead to physical damage requiring medical intervention
- Software administers documents whose loss endangers the certification
- Software controls intermediate results in the product realization, which are revealed in later steps by other processes



**Software Validation
Form**

PROTOCOL NO:

EFFECTIVE DATE:

PAGE NO.:

Criticality Low

- Software manages documents that play a role in the QM system, and whose loss would lead to an audit variance